

Retracing The Impact of Trade on Intra-Industry Reallocations and Aggregate Industry Productivity

Mauricio “Pachá” Vargas Sepúlveda
Department of Political Science, University of Toronto

Last updated: 2024-03-24 16:52

Contents

| | | |
|----------|---|----------|
| 1 | About | 1 |
| 2 | Closed economy | 1 |
| 2.1 | Consumer demand and expenditure | 1 |
| 2.2 | Production and profit | 2 |
| 2.3 | Aggregation | 3 |
| 2.4 | Firm entry and exit | 3 |
| 2.5 | Free entry | 4 |
| 2.6 | Closed economy equilibrium | 4 |
| 2.7 | Analysis of the equilibrium | 5 |
| 3 | Open economy | 5 |
| 3.1 | Equilibrium | 5 |
| 3.2 | Firm entry, exit and export status | 6 |
| 3.3 | Firm entry, exit and export status (part 2) | 6 |
| 3.4 | Firm entry, exit and export status (part 3) | 7 |
| 3.5 | Firm entry, exit and export status (part 4) | 7 |
| 3.6 | Aggregation | 7 |
| 3.7 | Equilibrium | 8 |
| 3.8 | Determination of the equilibrium | 8 |
| 3.9 | Impact of trade | 8 |
| 3.10 | Reallocation of resources | 9 |
| | References | 9 |

1 About

Trying to understand Melitz (2003) by deducing each equation therein step-by-step.

2 Closed economy

2.1 Consumer demand and expenditure

From the article:

1. Utility: $U = \left[\int_{\omega \in \Omega} q(\omega)^\rho d\omega \right]^{1/\rho}$.
2. Price aggregator: $P = \left[\int_{\omega \in \Omega} p(\omega)^{1-\sigma} \right]^{1/(1-\sigma)}$.
3. Level of utility: $U = Q$.
4. Elasticity of substitution: $\sigma = \frac{1}{1-\rho}$.

Apply a monotone transformation $f(a) = a^\rho$ to maximize the same preferences. Then the Lagrangian is:

$$L = \int_{\omega \in \Omega} q(\omega)^\rho d\omega + \lambda \left[R - \int_{\omega \in \Omega} p(\omega)q(\omega) d\omega \right]. \quad (1)$$

First order condition:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial q(\omega)} = \rho q(\omega)^{\rho-1} - \lambda p(\omega) = 0 \implies q(\omega) = \left[\frac{\lambda p(\omega)}{\rho} \right]^{1/(\rho-1)}. \quad (2)$$

Ratio of two varieties:

$$\frac{q(\omega_1)}{q(\omega_2)} = \left[\frac{p(\omega_1)}{p(\omega_2)} \right]^{1/(\rho-1)}. \quad (3)$$

From the elasticity of substitution, $\rho = \frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}$.

Then, the ratio of two varieties is:

$$\frac{q(\omega_1)}{q(\omega_2)} = \left[\frac{p(\omega_1)}{p(\omega_2)} \right]^{-\sigma} \implies p(\omega_1)q(\omega_1) = p(\omega_1)q(\omega_2) \left[\frac{p(\omega_1)}{p(\omega_2)} \right]^{-\sigma} \quad (4)$$

$$\implies \int_{\omega \in \Omega} p(\omega_1)q(\omega_1) d\omega_1 = \int_{\omega \in \Omega} p(\omega_1)q(\omega_2) \left[\frac{p(\omega_1)}{p(\omega_2)} \right]^{-\sigma} d\omega_1$$

$$\implies R = p(\omega_2)^\theta q(\omega_2) \int_{\omega \in \Omega} p(\omega_1)^{1-\sigma} d\omega_1 = p(\omega_2)^\theta q(\omega_2) P^{1-\sigma}$$

$$\implies q(\omega_2) = R p(\omega_2)^{-\theta} P^{\sigma-1}.$$

Using item 2 from the article:

$$\implies q(\omega) = R \left[\frac{p(\omega)}{P} \right]^{-\theta} \frac{1}{P}.$$

Now I need to prove $R/P = Q$. Using item 1, 3 and 4 from the article and the previous result for $q(\omega)$:

$$U = \left[\int_{\omega \in \Omega} q(\omega)^\rho d\omega \right]^{1/\rho} \implies U = RP^{\sigma-1} \left[\int_{\omega \in \Omega} p(\omega)^{1-\rho} d\omega \right]^{\sigma/(\sigma-1)} \quad (5)$$

$$\implies U = RP^{\sigma-1} P^{-\sigma} = \frac{R}{P}.$$

Replacing in $q(\omega)$:

$$\implies q(\omega) = Q \left[\frac{p(\omega)}{P} \right]^{-\sigma}. \quad (6)$$

Using the previous result:

$$p(\omega)q(\omega) = p(\omega)Q \left[\frac{p(\omega)}{P} \right]^{-\sigma} \implies r(\omega) = R \left[\frac{p(\omega)}{P} \right]^{1-\sigma}. \quad (7)$$

2.2 Production and profit

From the article:

1. Cost of production (fixed cost + output / productivity): $l(q) = f + q/\phi$.
2. CES constant markup: $\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1} = \frac{1}{\rho}$.
3. Pricing rule: $p(\phi) = \frac{w}{\rho\phi}$.
4. Profit: $\pi(\phi) = \frac{r(q)}{\sigma} - f$.

Profit:

$$\pi(q) = p(q)q - l(q)q = p(q)q - wf + w\frac{q}{\phi}. \quad (8)$$

First order condition:

$$\frac{\partial \pi}{\partial p} = q + p \frac{\partial q}{\partial p} - \frac{w}{\phi} \frac{\partial q}{\partial p} = 0 \implies p = -\frac{q}{p} \frac{\partial p}{\partial q} p + \frac{w}{\phi}. \quad (9)$$

Using the elasticity of substitution from the previous section:

$$\implies p \left[1 + \frac{q}{p} \frac{\partial p}{\partial q} \right] = \implies p \left[1 - \frac{1}{\sigma} \right] = \frac{w}{\phi} \implies p = \frac{w}{\rho\phi}. \quad (10)$$

Now use this in $q(\omega)$ from the previous section ($w = 1$):

$$q(\omega) = RP^{\sigma-1} [\rho\phi]^\sigma \implies r(\omega) = p(\omega)q(\omega) = R [P\rho\phi]^{\sigma-1}. \quad (11)$$

Replacing this result in the profit function from item 4:

$$\pi(\phi) = \frac{r(q)}{\sigma} - f = \frac{R [P\rho\phi]^{\sigma-1}}{\sigma} - f. \quad (12)$$

Using $q(\omega)$ for two varieties:

$$\frac{q(\omega_1)}{q(\omega_2)} = \left[\frac{\phi_1}{\phi_2} \right]^\sigma. \quad (13)$$

Using $r(\omega)$ for two varieties:

$$\frac{r(\omega_1)}{r(\omega_2)} = \left[\frac{\phi_1}{\phi_2} \right]^{\sigma-1}. \quad (14)$$

2.3 Aggregation

From the article:

1. M firms = M goods.
2. Productivity: $\mu(\phi) \in (0, \infty)$.
3. Aggregate price: $P = \left[\int_0^\infty p(\phi)^{1-\sigma} M \mu(\phi) d\phi \right]^{1/(1-\sigma)}$.
4. Average productivity: $\tilde{\phi} = \left(\int_0^\infty \phi^{\sigma-1} \mu(\phi) d\phi \right)^{1/(1-\sigma)}$.

Replace $p(\phi)$ from item 3 in the previous section:

$$\begin{aligned} P &= \left[\int_0^\infty \left[\frac{1}{\rho\sigma} \right]^{1-\sigma} M \mu(\phi) d\phi \right]^{1/(1-\sigma)} \implies P = M^{1/(1-\sigma)} \frac{1}{\rho \left(\int_0^\infty \phi^{\sigma-1} \mu(\phi) d\phi \right)^{1/(1-\sigma)}} \\ &\implies P = M^{1/(1-\sigma)} p(\tilde{\phi}). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

For a fixed utility level U :

$$U = Q = \left[\int_0^\infty q(\phi)^\rho M \mu(\phi) d\omega \right]^{1/\rho}. \quad (16)$$

From the average productivity:

$$q(\phi)^\rho = q(\tilde{\phi}) \left[\frac{\phi}{\tilde{\phi}} \right]^{\sigma\rho} \implies Q = M^{1/\rho} \left[\int_0^\infty q(\tilde{\phi}) \left[\frac{\phi}{\tilde{\phi}} \right]^{\sigma\rho} \mu(\phi) d\phi \right]^{1/\rho} = M^{1/\rho} q(\tilde{\phi}). \quad (17)$$

Therefore:

$$R = PQ = M p(\tilde{\phi}) q(\tilde{\phi}) = M r(\tilde{\phi}) \implies \Pi = M \pi(\tilde{\phi}). \quad (18)$$

2.4 Firm entry and exit

From the article:

1. Firm's value: $V(\phi) = \max(0, \sum_{t=0}^\infty (1-\delta)^t \pi(\phi)) = \max(0, \frac{\pi(\phi)}{\delta})$.
2. Productivity distribution in equilibrium: $\mu(\phi) = \frac{g(\phi)}{1-G(\phi)}$ when $\phi \geq \phi^*$ and 0 otherwise.
3. Zero profit condition: $\pi(\phi) = 0 \leftrightarrow r(\phi^*) = \sigma f \leftrightarrow \bar{\pi} = f k(\phi^*)$.

From $\pi(0) = -f$, q^* and σ^* must be positive because of productivity ratios. In equilibrium, $\pi(\phi^*) = 0$ and the probability of entr is: $p_{in} = 1 - G(\phi^*)$, therefore:

$$\tilde{\phi} = \tilde{\phi}(\phi^*) = \frac{1}{1 - G(\phi^*)} [\phi^{\sigma-1} g(\phi) d\phi]^{1/(\sigma-1)}. \quad (19)$$

Average revenue:

$$\bar{r} = \frac{R}{M} = r(\tilde{\phi}). \quad (20)$$

Using the revenue ratios:

$$\frac{r(\tilde{\phi})}{r(\phi^*)} = \left[\frac{\tilde{\phi}}{\phi^*} \right]^{\sigma-1} \implies \bar{r} = \left[\frac{\tilde{\phi}(\phi^*)}{\phi^*} \right]^{\sigma-1} r(\phi^*). \quad (21)$$

Average profit:

$$\bar{\pi} = \frac{\Pi}{M} = \frac{r(\tilde{\phi})}{\sigma} - f = \left[\frac{\tilde{\phi}}{\phi^*} \right]^{\sigma-1} \implies \bar{r} = \left[\frac{\tilde{\phi}(\phi^*)}{\phi^*} \right]^{\sigma-1} \frac{r(\phi^*)}{\sigma} - f. \quad (22)$$

As $\tilde{\phi}/\phi^*$ converges to 1, then the average profit is zero when $r(\phi^*) = \sigma f$, and this condition means:

$$\bar{\pi} = f \left[\left[\frac{\tilde{\phi}}{\phi^*} \right]^{\sigma-1} - 1 \right] = f k(\phi^*) = 0. \quad (23)$$

Here $k > \sigma - 1$ is important because otherwise $[1 - G(\phi)] k(\phi)$ does not necessarily decrease from above to 0 (i.e., it could break integrability conditions and the uniqueness of equilibrium).

2.5 Free entry

From the article:

1. Value of entry: $v_e = p_{in} \bar{v} - f_e = \frac{1-G(\phi^*)}{\delta} - f_e$.
2. Average value of entry: $\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} (1 - \delta^t) \bar{\pi} = \frac{\bar{\pi}}{\delta}$.

The value of entry is zero with free entry, as it will decrease from an initial value $v_e^i > 0$:

$$v_e = 0 \implies \bar{\pi} = \frac{\delta f_e}{1 - G(\phi^*)}. \quad (24)$$

2.6 Closed economy equilibrium

From the article:

1. Average profit: $\bar{\pi} = f k(\sigma^*) = \frac{\delta f_e}{1 - G(\phi^*)}$.

2. Mass of firms: $p_{in}M_e = \delta M$.
3. Labour payment for production and investment: $L = L_p + L_e$.
4. Labour payment for production: $L_p = R - \Pi$.

From the previous conditions on profits I have to solve

$$\bar{\pi} = fk(\sigma^*) = \frac{\delta f_e}{1 - G(\phi^*)}. \quad (25)$$

The mass of firms can be written using the value of entry:

$$p_{in}M_e = \delta M \implies (1 - G(\phi^*))M_e = \delta M. \quad (26)$$

Payment on investment labour:

$$L_e = M_e f_e = \frac{\delta M}{1 - G(\phi^*)} f_e = \bar{\pi} M = \Pi. \quad (27)$$

Total revenue:

$$R = L_p + \Pi = L_p + L_e = L. \quad (28)$$

Using \bar{r} from free entry, I have that $M = R/\bar{r}$ and then:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\pi} &= \left[\frac{\tilde{\phi}(\phi^*)}{\phi^*} \right]^{\sigma-1} \frac{r(\phi^*)}{\sigma} - f \implies \bar{\pi} = \sigma(\bar{\pi} + f). \\ \implies M &= \frac{R}{\sigma(\bar{\pi} + f)}. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Using the price from production section:

$$P = M^{1/(1-\sigma)} p(\tilde{\sigma}) = \frac{M^{1/(1-\sigma)}}{\rho\phi} = \left[\frac{L}{\sigma(\bar{\pi} + f)} \right]^{1/(1-\sigma)} \frac{1}{\rho\phi}. \quad (30)$$

2.7 Analysis of the equilibrium

$$W = \frac{w}{P} = \frac{1}{P} = M^{1/(\sigma-1)} \rho\tilde{\phi}. \quad (31)$$

3 Open economy

3.1 Equilibrium

From the article:

1. $p_d(\phi) = w/\rho\phi = 1/\rho\phi$.

2. $p_x(\phi) = \tau p_d(\phi)$ and $\tau > 1$.
3. $r_d(\phi) = R [P\rho\phi]^{\sigma-1}$.
4. $r_x(\phi) = \tau^{1-\sigma} r_d(\phi)$.
5. $r(\phi) = r_d(\phi)$ without exports or $r(\phi) = r_d(\phi) + nr_x(\phi)$ exporting to all countries.

Due to iceberg costs:

$$r_x(\phi) = R \left[P\rho \frac{\phi}{\tau} \right]^{\sigma-1} = \tau^{1-\sigma} R [P\rho\phi]^{\sigma-1} = \tau^{1-\sigma} r_d(\phi). \quad (32)$$

$$\implies r_d(\phi) + nr_x(\phi) = [1 + n\tau^{1-\sigma}] r_d(\phi). \quad (33)$$

3.2 Firm entry, exit and export status

From the article:

1. Investment cost: f_x .
2. Amortized cost: $f_x = \delta f_{ex}$.
3. The export cost is the same for all countries.
4. Export decision occurs after firm knows its productivity ϕ .
5. f_x is fixed per period and country.

f_x means that the firm exports in all periods to all countries or do not export at all, leading to profits:

1. $\pi_d(\phi) = \frac{r_d(\phi)}{\sigma} - f$
2. $\pi_x(\phi) = \frac{r_x(\phi)}{\sigma} - f$

Knowing the productivity:

$$\pi(\phi) = \pi_d(\phi) + \max(0, \pi_x(\phi)). \quad (34)$$

3.3 Firm entry, exit and export status (part 2)

From the article:

1. Domestic productivity: $\phi^* = \inf(\phi : \nu(\phi) > 0)$.
2. International productivity: $\phi_x^* = \inf(\phi : \phi \geq \phi^*, \pi_x(\phi) > 0)$.
3. Profit: $\pi(\phi) = \pi_d(\phi) + n\pi_x(\phi)$.

If $\phi_x^* = \phi^*$, all firms export but also $\pi_d = \pi_x = 0$.

If $\phi_x^* > \phi^*$, some firms export but also $\pi_d = \pi_x = 0$.

If $\phi_x^* < \phi^*$, it is an unfeasible case that never happens because $\tau > 1$.

Also, $\tau^{\sigma-1} f_x > f$ leads to $\phi_x^* > \phi$.

3.4 Firm entry, exit and export status (part 3)

From the article:

1. Productivity: $\mu(\sigma) = g(\phi)/[1 - G(\phi^*)]$ when $\phi^* > \phi$ and 0 otherwise.

Similar to closed economy, the probability of entry is

$$p_{in} = 1 - G(\phi^*) = 1, p_x = \frac{1 - G_x(\sigma^*)}{1 - G_x(\phi)}. \quad (35)$$

3.5 Firm entry, exit and export status (part 4)

From the article:

1. Exporting firms: $M_x = p_x M$.

$$M_t = M + nM_x = [1 + p_x n] M. \quad (36)$$

3.6 Aggregation

Using the average productivity and the aggregated price from the closed economy:

$$P = M_t^{1/(1-\sigma)} p(\tilde{\sigma}_t) = M_t^{1/(1-\sigma)} \frac{1}{\rho \tilde{\phi}_t}. \quad (37)$$

With average productivity $\tilde{\phi}_t$:

$$\tilde{\phi}_x = \tilde{\phi}_x(\phi^*) = \frac{1}{1 - G(\phi_x^*)} \left[\int_{\phi_x^*}^{\infty} \sigma^{\sigma-1} g(\phi) d\phi \right]^{1/(\sigma-1)}. \quad (38)$$

Then the average productivity is:

$$\tilde{\phi}_t = \frac{1}{M_t} \left[M [\tilde{\phi}(\phi^*)]^{\sigma-1} + nM_x \left[\frac{\tilde{\phi}_x(\phi^*)}{\tau} \right]^{\sigma-1} \right]^{1/(\sigma-1)}. \quad (39)$$

Using the average revenue, $R = M_t r_d(\phi)$ and then:

$$\bar{r} = \frac{R}{M} = \frac{M_t r_d(\phi)}{M} = r_d [\tilde{\phi}(\phi^*) + np_x r_x \tilde{\phi}(\phi_x^*)]. \quad (40)$$

Using the reasoning for productivity and revenue:

$$\bar{\pi} = \pi_d(\tilde{\phi}(\phi^*)) + np_x r_x \tilde{\phi}(\phi_x^*). \quad (41)$$

3.7 Equilibrium

Similar to closed economy, the zero profit condition means:

$$\pi_d(\tilde{\phi}(\phi^*)) = f \left[\left[\frac{\tilde{\phi}(\phi^*)}{\phi^*} \right]^{\sigma-1} - 1 \right]. \quad (42)$$

$$\pi_x(\tilde{\phi}(\phi_x^*)) = f_x \left[\left[\frac{\tilde{\phi}(\phi_x^*)}{\phi_x^*} \right]^{\sigma-1} - 1 \right]. \quad (43)$$

Aggregate profit:

$$\bar{\pi} = f \left[\left[\frac{\tilde{\phi}(\phi^*)}{\phi^*} \right]^{\sigma-1} - 1 \right] + np_x f_x \left[\left[\frac{\tilde{\phi}(\phi_x^*)}{\phi_x^*} \right]^{\sigma-1} - 1 \right]. \quad (44)$$

Profit ratio:

1. $r_x(\phi^*) = \tau^{1-\sigma} [\phi_x^*]^{\sigma-1}$.
2. $r_d(\phi^*) = [\phi^*]^{\sigma-1}$.

From the profit ratio:

$$\frac{r_x}{r_d} = \tau^{1-\sigma} \left[\frac{\phi_x^*}{\phi^*} \right]^{\sigma-1} = \frac{f_x}{f} \implies \phi_x^* = \tau \phi^* \left[\frac{f_x}{f} \right]^{1/(\sigma-1)} \quad (45)$$

With $\bar{\pi} = \delta f_e / p_{in}$ (article) and v_e the long profit is zero, meaning that the average profit is:

$$\bar{\pi} = f \left[\left[\frac{\tilde{\phi}(\phi^*)}{\phi^*} \right]^{\sigma-1} - 1 \right] + np_x f_x \left[\left[\frac{\tilde{\phi}(\phi_x^*)}{\phi_x^*} \right]^{\sigma-1} - 1 \right]. \quad (46)$$

3.8 Determination of the equilibrium

From the closed economy case, $R = L$ and $p_{in} M_e = \delta M$, therefore the mass of firms is:

$$M = \frac{R}{\bar{r}} = \frac{R}{\sigma [\bar{\pi} + f + np_x f_x]}. \quad (47)$$

3.9 Impact of trade

From from closed economy case, the autarky cutoff ϕ_a^* is.

If $\phi^* > \phi_a^*$, then firms with productivity $\phi_a^* \leq \phi < \phi^*$ have $\pi < 0$ and exit.

But also $\bar{\pi} > \bar{\pi}_a$ and in particular $[1 + np_x] M < M_a$, meaning that:

$$\frac{M}{M_a} < \frac{1}{1 + np_x} < 1. \quad (48)$$

3.10 Reallocation of resources

From from closed economy case, if $\phi^* \geq \phi_x^*$:

$$\Delta\pi(\phi) = \phi^{\sigma-1} f \left[\frac{1 + n\tau^{1-\sigma}}{[\sigma^*]^{\sigma-1}} - \frac{1}{[\phi_a^*]^{\sigma-1}} \right] - nf_x \implies \pi(\phi^*) \geq 0. \quad (49)$$

References

Melitz, Marc J. 2003. "The Impact of Trade on Intra-Industry Reallocations and Aggregate Industry Productivity." *Econometrica* 71 (6): 1695–725. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-0262.00467>.